

Research and Publication Ethics

Publication Standards of Ethical Approvals and Conduct

The Innovative Defense Acquisition Society (IDEAS) related to Journal of Defense Acquisition and Technology (JDAAT) serves the defense acquisition community and society in several ways, among them by publishing papers and other relevant technical articles that present current engineering and scientific researches and practices. All editors, authors, reviewers of the journal have the responsibility to maintain high ethical guidelines relating to the submittal, review, and publication of manuscripts.

Ethical Obligations of Editors

1. The primary responsibility of a JDAAT editor is to give unbiased consideration and fair review process to submitted manuscripts, and without regard to religion, sex, race, nationality, seniority or the social status of the author(s) to establish and maintain high standards of technical and professional quality. Criteria of quality will be judged on the basis of the originality of approach, concept and/or application, profundity, and relevance to the defense acquisition professions.
2. An editor shall deal with manuscripts submitted for publication in a timely manner and shall reveal no information about a manuscript under consideration to anyone. The exceptions may apply for those from whom professional advice regarding the publication of the manuscript is sought. The names of reviewers shall not make public by the editors.
3. An editor on the conditions that submits manuscripts to the journal, shall not review that work. If after publication, the editor-author's work merits ongoing scientific debate within the journal, the editor-author shall accept no editorial responsibility in connection therewith.
4. An editor shall avoid conflicts of interest and/or the appearance thereof. An editor shall not show a manuscript to reviewers who are known to have personal bias with the author(s) or the subject of that manuscript.
5. Before publication, any areas of information, arguments, or interpretations in a submitted manuscript are confidential and shall not be treated in the research of an editor or associate editor, or otherwise disseminated except with the consent of the author(s).
6. If an author is given convincing evidence that any parts of a manuscript such as, conclusions, references or other materials published in JDAAT are found erroneous, the editor, after notifying the author(s) and receiving corrections in writing, shall expedite immediate publication of an erratum.
7. If an editor is given convincing evidence that a manuscript or published paper contains plagiarized material or falsified research data, the editor shall report such evidence to the editorial manager for investigation by the Research Ethics committee.

Ethical Obligations of Authors

1. A submitted manuscript shall provide public sources of information with details and references to permit the author's peers to repeat the work or otherwise verify its accuracy.
2. Information privately owned by an author, through conversation, correspondence, or discussion with third parties shall not be used or reported in the author's work without direct permission from whom the information was obtained. Information obtained in the course of confidential services, such as refereeing manuscripts or grant applications, shall be treated in the same confidential manner.
3. The submitted manuscript shall not involve plagiarized material or falsified research data. JDAAT defines plagiarism as the use of the ideas or words of another person without giving appropriate credit to that source. The society views any similar misappropriation of intellectual property, which may include data or interpretation, as plagiarism.
4. It is inappropriate for an author to submit his or her paper which has shown in the same research or project to more than one journal of primary publication.
5. Scholarly criticism of a published paper may sometimes be allowable; however, personal criticism is never accepted.
6. To protect the integrity of authorship, only persons who have significantly contributed to the research or project and manuscript preparation shall be listed as co-authors. The corresponding author attests to the fact that any co-authors have seen the final version of the manuscript and have agreed to its submission for publication.
7. It is inappropriate to submit manuscripts with an obvious commercial intent.
8. It is inappropriate for an author either to write or co-author a discussion of his or her own manuscript, except in the case of a rebuttal or closure to criticism or discussion offered by others.

Ethical Obligations of Reviewers

1. If a reviewer feels unqualified or a limited time from the reviewer's perspective has been assigned to fairly judge the work reported, the reviewer should immediately notify the editor and promptly send back the manuscript.
2. A reviewer shall unbiasedly judge the quality of a manuscript on its own merit and shall respect the intellectual independence of the author(s). Personal criticism is, in any cases, strictly prohibited.
3. A reviewer shall avoid conflicts of interest and/or the appearance thereof. If a manuscript being soon underway into the reviewing process potentially presents some conflict of interest or the reviewer has a personal bias, the reviewer shall send back the manuscript promptly.
4. Before publication, any areas of information, arguments, or interpretations in a submitted manuscript are confidential and shall not be treated in the research of an editor or associate editor, or otherwise disseminated except with the consent of the author(s).
5. A reviewer shall keep a manuscript as confidential as possible while being reviewed and shall neither disclose nor discuss it with others except, if necessary, with persons from whom specific advice may be sought.
6. A reviewer shall call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity between the manuscript under consideration and any published manuscript or any manuscript submitted concurrently to another journal.
7. A reviewer shall not use or disclose unpublished information, arguments, or interpretations contained in a manuscript while being processed, except with the consent of the author(s) and with appropriate attribution.
8. If a reviewer has convincing evidence that a manuscript contains plagiarized material or falsified research data, the reviewer shall promptly notify the editor and report the evidence to the reviewer's manager for investigation by Journal of Defense Acquisition and Technology (JDAAT) managing committee.

Plagiarism

If plagiarism was found or noticed from other sources, editorial board should check the status. If the plagiarism was confirmed as intentional thing, the manuscript will be removed according to our plagiarism detection processing. And these authors cannot submit any paper to all IDEAS and JDAAT journal for three years. Authors can check the plagiarism via KCI or other sites.